

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE



UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS Washington, D.C. 20231 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
09/703,623	11/02/2000	Erik Nikkanen	00322-0003	2793
. 7.	590 11/13/2002			
Orange & Chari			EXAMINER	
P O Box 190 - Toronto Dominion Centre 66 Wellington Street			EICKHOLT, EUGENE H	
W Toronto, ON M5K1H6 CANADA			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			2854	
			DATE MAILED: 11/13/2002	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

			A		
·	Applicati n N .	Applicant(s)			
	09/703,623	NIKKANEN, ERIK			
Offic Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit			
	Eugene H Eickholt	2854			
The MAILING DATE of this communication app Period f r Reply					
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.13 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period w - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, - Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b). Status	36(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timed within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) days will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from cause the application to become ABANDONE	ely filed s will be considered timely. the mailing date of this communication. O (35 U.S.C. § 133).			
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 19 S	September 2002 .				
2a) This action is FINAL . 2b) This	is action is non-final.				
3) Since this application is in condition for allowa closed in accordance with the practice under a Disp sition of Claims					
4)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-9 and 11-14</u> is/are pending in the a	oplication.				
4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdraw	•				
5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.					
6) Claim(s) is/are rejected.					
7) Claim(s) is/are objected to.					
8) Claim(s) 1-9 and 11-14 are subject to restriction	n and/or election requirement.				
Application Papers					
9)☐ The specification is objected to by the Examine	r.				
10)☐ The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a)☐ accep	oted or b)□ objected to by the Exam	miner.			
Applicant may not request that any objection to the					
11) The proposed drawing correction filed on	. ,_ ,, ,_ ,_ ,,	ved by the Examiner.			
If approved, corrected drawings are required in rep	•				
12) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Ex	aminer.				
Priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120					
13) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign	priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).			
a) ☐ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of:					
1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.					
2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No					
 3. Copies of the certified copies of the prior application from the International But * See the attached detailed Office action for a list 	reau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	•			
14) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic	•		າ).		
a) The translation of the foreign language pro	visional application has been rec	eived.	,		
Attachment(s)	,,				
1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449) Paper No(s)	5) Notice of Informal F	(PTO-413) Paper No(s) Patent Application (PTO-152)			
C. Detect and T. decod Office					

Application/Control Number: 09/703,623

Art Unit: 2854

The restriction requirement given in Paper No. 4 stands withdrawn in view of applicants response. A new election of species in hereby submitted in its place.

This application contains claims directed to the following patentably distinct species of the claimed invention: Group A, Figs. 1-3, 6-8; Group B, Fig. 4; Group C, Fig. 5 and Group E, Figs.9-10.

Applicant is required under 35 U.S.C. 121 to elect a single disclosed species for prosecution on the merits to which the claims shall be restricted if no generic claim is finally held to be allowable. Currently, at least claim 1 is generic.

Applicant is advised that a reply to this requirement must include an identification of the species that is elected consonant with this requirement, and a listing of all claims readable thereon, including any claims subsequently added. An argument that a claim is allowable or that all claims are generic is considered nonresponsive unless accompanied by an election.

Upon the allowance of a generic claim, applicant will be entitled to consideration of claims to additional species which are written in dependent form or otherwise include all the limitations of an allowed generic claim as provided by 37 CFR 1.141. If claims are added after the election, applicant must indicate which are readable upon the elected species. MPEP § 809.02(a).

Should applicant traverse on the ground that the species are not patentably distinct, applicant should submit evidence or identify such evidence now of record showing the species to be obvious variants or clearly admit on the record that this is the case. In either instance, if the

Application/Control Number: 09/703,623

Art Unit: 2854

examiner finds one of the inventions unpatentable over the prior art, the evidence or admission may be used in a rejection under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) of the other invention.

Applicant is advised that the reply to this requirement to be complete must include an election of the invention to be examined even though the requirement be traversed (37 CFR 1.143).

A shortened statutory period of 30 days is set to respond.

FUGENE H. EICKHOLT PRIMARY EXAMINER